Senate Battle Building On Bigger CIA Body

By HARRY KELLY Associated Press Writer

resolution in the Senate. Russell plans to try to sidebrack it.

Secretary of State Dean Rusk says there is a "tough struggle Senate of the danger of leaks going on in the back alleys all and too much talk about the over the world."

He referred to the dark work- a ings of espionage. Much of it is members of his CIA group. prosaic research and the feeding of information into data processing machines.

Some of it involves the rarefied world of space science and take for the purpose of asking the brain-busting mathematics of computer codes.

And some of it is hazardous work of nervous men.

So_nervous, says Sen. Richard R. Russell, D-Ga., that even public Senate debate on the Central Intelligence Agency tends "to chill these sources of information ... might cause affairs where the CIA might be them to clam up" in fear for involved." their lives.

Now the CIA finds itself in the limelight of a struggle over a danger of leaks. move to change the Senate supervision of the big spy agen-

Panel Expansion Asked

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee is asking the Senate to expand the present watchdog panel by adding three of its own

The move promises to cause a stiff floor fight—and has already been challenged in debate by that come out of their hearmembers of the present panel, the "secret seven" headed by Sen. Russell, who is chairman of the Armed Services Committee.

The others on the panel are senior members of the Armed tion that came out of executions and Appropriations sessions of that committee. Committees.

But a majority of members of the Foreign Relations group— led by Chairman J. William Fulbright, D-Ark., and Sen. Eugene J. McOarthy, D-Minn. feel they should have a role in the supervision because of their revamping of the Senate's CIA contention that the CIA has panel might quiet some of the influence on U.S. foreign policy criticism over recent incidents decisions.

Involved in the Senate dispute are jealously guarded prerogatives: the general question of Furthermore, he said, "I whether a bigger group would do not believe that the members of the possibility of dangerous leaks, and the more mittee are any more prone to specific assues of whether the leak information than anyone Foreign Relations Committee else." can keep secrets.

The dispute may come to a boil tomorrow, when Fulbright is expected to introduce the

CIA, said there has never been a "harmful leak" from the

The security is so careful, said Sen. Leverelt Salltonstall, R-Mass., "we take no notes (at CIA briefings). Any notes that I questions I tear up when we leave.'

Sen. Milton R. Young, R-N.D., feels the responsibility strongly: "This is a difficult assignment and sometimes I wish I were not on it. . . one has to be very

careful what he says on almost. any subject involving foreign

He said he would not serve on a bigger group because of the

It was, paradoxically, a member of the Foreign Relations Committee, Sen. Frank J. Lausche, D-Ohio, who pointed a warning finger at his own colleague.

Lausche, who often doesn't sce eye to eye with Fulbright,

said that the Foreign Relations Committee has "distinguished itself for the frequency of leaks ings.

Russell said he understood Lausche's concern because "I have read articles that were written as a result of information that came out of executive

Fulbright, however, said he couldn't see how the resolution "would result in a major, terrible, drastic, catastrophic change in the present situa-

tion. He expressed belief that a panel might quiet some of the such as the CIA's involvement in a Michigan State University foreign aid project.

Furthermore, he said,

STATINTL

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

Sanitize<u>d : Approved For Rel</u>base : CIA-RDP75-00149R000400460026-3